THE BLESSING OF DIMENSIONALITY IN FORECASTING REAL HOUSE PRICE GROWTH IN THE NINE CENSUS DIVISIONS OF THE US

Sonali Das¹, Rangan Gupta² and Alain Kabundi³

¹Senior Researcher (Statistics), CSIR, Pretoria ²Associate Professor (Economics), University of Pretoria, Pretoria ³Senior Lecturer (Economics), University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg

57th International Statistical Institute Conference, Durban, 2009

Das, Gupta and Kabundi

Motivation Models Data Results

Main question Background















Main question Background

Main question

Is the wealth of information contained in 126 monthly series more useful in forecasting regional real house price growth rate for the 9 census divisions of the US?

- Why is forecasting real house price growth important?
 - Asset prices help to forecast both inflation and output
 - Models that forecast real house price inflation can be indicative of overall inflation
 - (Forni et al., 2003; Stock and Watson, 2003; Gupta and Das, 2008a,b; Das et al., 2008a,b)

• Why use large scale models?

- Large number of economic indicators help in predicting real house price growth
- (Cho, 1996; Abraham and Hendershott, 1996; Rapach and Strauss, 2007, 2008)

Why use regional data?

- Segmented nature of the housing market
- Non-uniform economic conditions across regions
- (Carlino and DeFina, 1998, 1999; Burger and van Rensburg, 2008; Gupta and Das 2008a;



イロン 不良 とうほう 不良 と

Main question Background

Our previous work

Das, S., Gupta, R. and Kabundi, A. (2008). Is a DFM Well-Suited for Forecasting Regional House Price Inflation?" Working Paper No. 85, Economic Research Southern Africa.

Das, S., Gupta, R. and Kabundi, A. (2008). Could We Have Forecasted the Recent Downturn in the South African

Housing Market? Working Paper No. 200831, Department of Economics, University of Pretoria.

Gupta, R. and Das, S. (2008). Spatial Bayesian Methods for Forecasting House Prices in Six Metropolitan Areas of South Africa. South African Journal of Economics, 76(2), 298-313.

Gupta, R. and Das, S. (2008). Predicting Downturns in the US Housing Market. Forthcoming *Journal of Real Estate Economics and Finance*.

Gupta, R. and Kabundi, A. (2008). A Dynamic Factor Model for Forecasting Macroeconomic Variables in South Africa. Working Paper No. 200815, Department of Economics, University of Pretoria.

Gupta, R. and Kabundi, A. (2008). Forecasting Macroeconomic Variables using Large Datasets: Dynamic Factor

Model vs Large-Scale BVARs. Working Paper No. 200816, Department of Economics, University of Pretoria.

Gupta, R. and Kabundi, A. (2008). Forecasting Macroeconomic Variables in a Small Open Economy: A Comparison

between Small- and Large-Scale Models. Working Paper No. 200830, Department of Economics, University of

Pretoria.

VARs and BVARs FAVARs















VARs and BVARs FAVARs

Unrestricted classical Vector Autoregressive (VAR) model (*Sims, 1980*)

Model

- $y_t = C + A(L)y_t + \epsilon_t$, where A(L) is a $n \times n$ polynomial matrix in the backshift operator *L* with lag *p*
- atheoretical though particularly useful for forecasting
- Equal lag length for all variables
- Many parameters to estimate
- Possible large out-of-sample forecast errors

Solution

- Exclude insignificant lags
- Specify unequal number of lags for different equations



VARs and BVARs FAVARs

Bayesian Vector Autoregressive (BVAR)

An alternative to overcome overparameterization

(Litterman (1981, 1986), Doan et.al (1984), Todd (1984)and Spencer (1993))

- Instead of eliminating longer lags, impose restrictions on these coeff. \rightarrow more likely to be near zero than the coeff. on shorter lags
- If strong effects exist from less important variables, data can override this assumption
- Restrictions: normal prior distributions with zero means and small standard deviations for all coeff.One Exception: coeff. on the first own lag of a variable has a mean of 1
- Popularly referred to as the Minnesota Prior



・ロット (雪) () () () ()

VARs and BVARs FAVARs

Minnesota Prior

Notationally,

- $\beta_i \sim N(1, \sigma_{\beta_i}^2)$ and $\beta_j \sim N(0, \sigma_{\beta_j}^2)$
- To circumvent overparametrization, *Doan et al (1984)* suggest a formula to generate standard deviations (*s.d.*) as a function of a few hyperparameters: *w*, *d* and a weighting matrix *f*(*i*, *j*)
- *s.d.* of variable *j* in equation *i* and lag *m* is given as

$$\sigma_{ijm} = \{ \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{m}^{-\mathbf{d}} \times f(i,j) \} \times \frac{\hat{\sigma}_j}{\hat{\sigma}_i}$$

- $\hat{\sigma_i}$: Standard error of univariate autoregression for variable i
- w: Overall tightness
- d: Decay factor

f(i, j): Tightness of variable j in equation i relative to variable i

f(i, j) = 1 if i = j and k_{ij} otherwise; $(0 \le k_{ij} \le 1)$, and; d > 0.



ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

VARs and BVARs FAVARs

Dynamic Factor Model

- Can cope with many variables without running into degrees of freedom problems
- Common factors can affect variables not only contemporaneously, but also with lags
- Advantage over VAR models where one chooses variables

VARs and BVARs FAVARs

Dynamic Factor Model

- Each time series represented as sum of two latent components: common (capturing multivariate correlation) and idiosyncratic
- Let $X_t = (x_{1t}, ..., x_{nt})'$ be a standardized stationary process
- In terms of a DFM, X_t can be written as:

$$X_t = B(L)f_t + \xi_t \tag{1}$$

$$=\Delta F_t + \xi_t \tag{2}$$

ヘロン 人間 とくほう くほう

- B(L) = B₀ + B₁L + ... + BsL^s a n × q matrix of factor loadings of order s
 f_t is a q × 1 vector of dynamic components
 F_t is the r = q(s + 1) × 1 vector of static factors, i.e., F_t = (f'_t, f'_{t-1}, ..., f'_{t-s})
- Δ is the matrix of factor loadings



э

VARs and BVARs FAVARs

Dynamic Factor Model

Determination of number of dynamic factors

• Informal criteria based on prop. of variance explained

(Bai and Ng, 2005; Stock and Watson, 2005)

• Principle component (Forni et al, 2004)

Estimation of dynamic factors - Frequency domain

(Forni et al, 2000, 2002)

- Spectral density matrix of X_t decomposed as Σ(θ) = Σ_χ(θ) + Σ_ξ(θ), -π < θ < π
 Rank of Σ_χ(θ) = q, is the number of dynamic factors
- Covariance of X_t can be decomposed as Γ_k = Γ^χ_k + Γ^ξ_k
 Rank of Γ^χ_k = r, the number of static factors



イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほ

VARs and BVARs FAVARs

DFM specifications considered

- UFAVAR: includes one of the variables of interest and the obtained number of common static factors;
- MFAVAR: includes all the nine real house price growth rates and the common static factors;
- UBFAVAR: uses one of the variables of interest and the common static factors, and which, in turn, are estimated based on Bayesian restrictions discussed in the previous subsection;
- MBFAVAR: with a specification similar to the MFAVAR, except that the current model applies Bayesian restrictions on lag of the variables based on the Minnesota prior.

イロン 不良 とうほう 不良 と

Motivation Models Data Results

Outline













Motivation Models Data Results

US Census Regions and Divisions Forecast period Variables



14/24

US Census Regions and Divisions Forecast period Variables

	Region I: Northea	št	
Division I: New England Connectout (09) Maine (23)	Division 2: Middle Atlantic New Jersey (34)		
Massachusetts (25) New Hampshire (33) Rhode Island (44) Vermont (50)		New tork (36) Permsylvenia (42)	
	Region 2: Midwes	t*	
Division 3: East North Central		Division 4: West North Central	
Indiana (18) Illinois (17) Michigan (28) Ohio (39) Wisconsin (55)		lowa (19) Kansas (20) Ninnesota (27) Nissouri (29)	Nebraska (31) North Dakota (38) South Dakota (46)
	Region 3: South		
Division 5: Division 6: South Atlantic East South Cen		w	Division 7: /est South Central
Delaware (10) District of Columbia (11) Ronda (12) Georgia (13) Margiant (24) North Gerofina (45) Virginia (37) Virginia (45) Virginia (54)	Alabama (01) Kantucky (21) Mississippi (28) Ternessee (47)		Arkenses (IS) Louisiene (I2) Oldehorns (I4) Texes (I48)
	Region 4: West		
Division 8: Mountain		Division 9: Pacific	
Arizons (04) Montens (30) Colorado (08) Utah (46) Ideho (16) Nevada (32) New Mexico (35) Wyoming (56)		Ala Ca Ha On Wa	aska (02) ilifornia (06) wati (15) egon (41) ashington (53)
Prior to June 1984, the M	idnest Region was designate	d as the North Ce.	ntvil Region.

- The bureau recognizes four census regions
- Further organizes them into nine divisions
- For the presentation of data
- Not to be construed as necessarily being grouped

owing to any geographical, historical, or cultural bonds.

・ロット (四) ・ (日) ・ (日)



ъ

- In-sample analyses period: 1991(02) to 2000(12)
- Out-of-sample forecast evaluation period: 2001(01) to 2005(06)
- Out-of-sample forecast is done for one to twelve months ahead
- Choice of 2001:01 as the onset of forecast horizon motivated from lacoviello and Neri (2008)





- Small-scale VARs, both the classical and Bayesian variants

 Only the nine variables of interest, namely, real house price growth rates of the nine census divisions of the US
- Large-scale BVARs and the DFM:
 Based on 126 monthly series (9 + 1 + 116).

The nominal house price figures for these nine US census divisions and for the whole of US were obtained from the Office of Federal Housing Enterprize Oversight (OFEO), and were converted to their real counterpart by dividing them with the personal consumption expenditure deflator.

ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

US Census Regions and Divisions Forecast period Variables

Remaining 116 variables from dataset of Boivin et al. (2008) which contains a broad range of macroeconomic variables such as

industrial production, income, employment and unemployment, housing starts, inventories and orders, stock prices, exchange rates, interest rates, money aggregates, consumer prices, producer prices, earnings, **and** consumption expenditure

Balanced panel of 126 monthly series from 1991:02-2005:06

All data transformed to induce stationarity



















optimal model based on minimum average RMSE for real house price growth rate





optimal model based on minimum average RMSE for real house price growth rate

• For all the 9 census divisions, some large model outperforms small-scale models

UVFAVAR for East South Central and West South Central, UVBFAVAR (w=0.1, d=2) for East North Central

and Mountain, LBVAR (w=0.1, d=1) for Middle Atlantic, MVBFAVAR (w=0.2, d=2) for New England,

MVBFAVAR (w=0.1, d=2) for South Atlantic and West North Central, and MVFAVAR for Pacific

 Even the second-best performing model are large-scale in nature

barring West South Central for which the SBVAR (w=0.1, d=1) comes second

 There always exists at least one small-scale model that outperforms the large-scale BVAR

exceptions being Middle Atlantic under w=0.3, d=0.5, w= 0.2, d=1, w=0.1, d=1 and w=0.1, d=



ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

Overall Future direction

Outline















FAVARs, in their various forms, are standout performers

Data rich environment of the FAVAR models that include a wide range of macroeconomic series of the US economy, besides the house price growth rates of the census divisions, more informative when forecasting the house price growth rate of the nine census regions

Role of fundamentals in affecting the housing market cannot be underestimated



Overall Future direction

First - detailed look at the factors to determine the dominant macroeconomic variables that comprise these factors;

Second - incorporate role of the house price growth rate of the neighboring division(s) in the forecasting process of a particular census division, by developing spatial versions of the above models.

